

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD MEETING

Department of Workforce Services
Housing and Community Development Division
Salt Lake City, Utah

MINUTES

Thursday, August 18, 2016

Members Present

Keith Heaton	Chairman
Bruce Adams	Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments
Michael McKee	Uintah County
Steve Farrell	State Board of Water Resources
Jae Potter	Carbon County
Gregg Galecki	State Board of Water Quality
Jim Matson	Five County Association of Governments
Ron Winterton	Uintah Basin Association of Governments
Naghi Zeenati	State Transportation Commission
Garth "Tooter" Ogden	Six County Association of Governments

Excused Members

David Damschen	State Treasurer
----------------	-----------------

Staff and Visitors

Jonathan Hardy	Housing and Community Development
Candace Powers	Housing and Community Development
Gayle Gardner	Housing and Community Development
Shad West	Housing and Community Development
Cristine Rhead	Housing and Community Development
Brenda Brown	Housing and Community Development
Kyle Slaughter	Housing and Community Development
Katherine Smith	Housing and Community Development
Wade Farroway	Attorney General's Office
Pat Brady	Green River City
Kyle Gubler	LaVerkin City
Ryan Snow	Roosevelt City
Dustin White	Roosevelt City
Kerry Nielson	Sanpete County Search and Rescue
John Zilles	Roosevelt City
Conae Black	Green River City
Kevin Yack	Uintah Basin Association of Governments
Curtis Nielson	Ensign Engineering
Duane Barnhurst	Hatch City
Denton Whipple	Ensign Engineering
Mike McCandless	Epic Engineering
Daren Anderson	CRS Engineers
Lynn Wall	Wall Engineering
Travis Kyhl	Six County Association of Governments
Hector Zumaeta Santiago	Legislative Fiscal Analyst

Tyler Young	Sunrise Engineering
Joe Phillips	Sunrise Engineering
Michael Hawley	Jones & DeMille Engineering
Jon Johansen	Johansen & Tuttle Engineering
Philip Barlow	Hildale City
Richard Barlow	Hildale City
David Zook	Nibley City
Eric Johnson	Blaisdell, Church & Johnson
Shari Phippen	Nibley City
Kathryn Beus	Nibley City
Jewel Kloth	Best Engineering
Michael Bryant	Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments
Raymond Barlow	Hildale City
Steve Frischknecht	Sanpete County
Ernie Giles	Wasatch County Fire Protection Special Service District
Christina Davis	Department of Workforce Services
Brain Carver	Bear River Association of Governments
Doug Nielsen	Sunrise Engineering
Brian Barton	Jones & DeMille Engineering
Justin Atkinson	Sunrise Engineering
Ralph Okerlund	Six County Infrastructure Coalition
Leland Pollock	Garfield County
Dell LeFevre	Garfield County
Troy Ostler	CIVCO Engineering Inc.
Adam Massey	Uintah Transportation Special Service District
Jason Henrie	Utah Department of Transportation
Brian Allen	Utah Department of Transportation
Alma Adams	Iron County
Janice Bown	Fayette Town
Aaron Averett	Sunrise Engineering
Shannon Ellsworth	Rural Community Consultants
Mike Hansen	Rural Community Consultants
Jack Lytle	Daggett County
Jayson Albee	Sanpete County Search and Rescue
Skip McDonnell	Electrical Consultants, Inc.
Michelle Price	Associated Press

WELCOME

The Permanent Community Impact Fund Board (CIB) Meeting was held on Thursday, August 18, 2016 at the Multi-Agency State Office Building, 195 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah and called to order at 8:30 a.m. by Chairman Keith Heaton.

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

Upcoming Meeting Dates & Locations

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, September 8, 2016 to accommodate the Uintah Basin Energy Summit August 31 – September 1, 2016. The meeting will be held at the Housing and Community Development office, 1385 South State Street, Salt Lake City, in Room 157.

Financial Review

Candace Powers reviewed the status of the funds for today's meeting. There are eleven new projects on today's agenda.

The new Mineral Lease revenues this year will be allocated to the Throughput Infrastructure Fund and care should be given as the Board reviews projects acknowledging that the revenue balance is in the Bonus Fund.

Review of Agenda Items

Candace Powers reviewed the agenda items with the Board members.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Chairman Heaton welcomed everyone and asked the Board members and staff to give introductions. State Treasurer David Damschen is excused today.

Chairman Heaton introduced Kyle Slaughter from the Rural Planning Group to explain the new loan tool for annual payment scenarios developed by the RPG.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Heaton requested a motion to approve the minutes from the July 7, 2016 meeting.

Naghi Zeenati made and Jim Matson seconded a motion to approve the minutes from the July 7, 2016 meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

III. NEW PROJECTS

1. Roosevelt City (Duchesne County)

Roosevelt City presented a funding assistance request for a \$375,000 grant for road improvements to include the industrial park dirt roadways, 4 residential streets and water system improvements replacing 440 linear feet of aging 8" waterline, an 8" gate valve, 1 fire hydrant, 8 water connections and 8 water meters. The applicant is contributing \$250,000 cash to the project.

The applicant indicated that these roads have been on the capital improvements list for Roosevelt. The City has been working with the county special service district which currently has a five million dollar project underway. There would be up to a 40% savings in doing the Roosevelt City road project with the County project, through lower bid prices.

Chairman Heaton indicated that all applicants ask for favorable terms but suggested that without the history of awarding project funding as a loan, funding would not be available today and reminded the Board of the deficit in the Mineral Lease Fund and a surplus in Bonus Fund. He commended the projects that come in showing applicant cash but another possibility would be to reduce the cash match and accommodate funding as a loan with loan repayments. In so doing, the fund would continue to be a revolving fund.

The Board asked if Roosevelt City would be willing to restructure their request.

The applicant stated that with the downturn in their economy, it would be difficult to accommodate all loan.

The Board asked how water meters and service connections are involved with road repair.

The applicant indicated that they would like to address water utility issues before new pavement was in place.

The Board stated that in the Roosevelt application, it was indicated that some loans would soon be retired and discussed the project funding.

Gregg Galecki made a motion to place the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$375,000 loan for 15 years at 2.5% interest with a deferral of payments for four years (2020). There was no second to the motion.

The Board asked if the industrial park roads could be omitted from the project, reduce the funding request, and allow industry to accommodate improvements in the industrial park.

The applicant indicated the industrial park could be omitted and requested 60% of the CIB grant and 40% of the applicant cash to fund a reduced project scope.

Mike McKee made and Ron Winterton seconded a substitute motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$233,000 grant excluding the industrial park. The motion carried with Gregg Galecki opposed.

The Board suggested the applicant take some time to review and consider funding scenarios and the project would be discussed after all applications were reviewed.

**The Board proceeded to item #2.*

After the Board completed initial review of projects 2 through 11, Chairman Heaton invited Roosevelt City back to discuss funding.

After doing some calculations, Roosevelt City requested that the Board consider funding the entire project without any applicant cash as half grant and half loan, with a deferral of first payment until 2020 when other debt would be retired and also asked for the Board to suspend the rules and fund the project at today's meeting.

The substitute motion was recalled, amended and is as follows:

Mike McKee made and Ron Winterton seconded the amended substitute motion to suspend the rules and fund the project as a \$313,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$312,000 grant (total \$625,000) with a deferral of payments for 4 years (2020). The motion carried unanimously.

2. Green River City (Emery County)

Green River City presented a funding assistance request for a \$45,000 grant to determine the necessary infrastructure and costs of implementing a natural gas pipeline system for the city to include the operation and maintenance expenses, regulatory steps for municipal gas services and a financial model to establish rates and debt service costs. The applicant is contributing \$5,000 cash to the project and has applied for an Economic Development Agency grant of \$50,000.

The applicant indicated that Green River would like to investigate bringing natural gas to the city which relies on much higher-priced energy sources including propane for water, heating and power. Green River City would be the municipality power. The BLM indicated it was prudent for the City to acquire the rights of way.

Jim Matson made and Steve Farrell seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration as a \$45,000 grant.

The Board asked what information would be provided by the study and where would the pipeline project funding come from.

The applicant stated the study would show the cost associated with getting natural gas to Green River City, the expense associated with providing the infrastructure required, and the operation and maintenance costs. The pipeline project funding could be accommodated from several sources.

The Board stated that the cost was quite high for this study.

Applicant indicated that there are two parts to the study. The first part is the feasibility, the second part concerns rights of way, NEPA and cultural. It could be broken out.

The Board reminded all that planning and study funding requires a 50% cash match and if matching funds are not placed with the CIB funding, invoices would be reimbursed at 50%.

The Board asked about the status of the EDA grant.

The applicant indicated the EDA is in process and they would know by October.

The Board stated that natural gas is a regulated commodity and asked if Green River City is anticipating ownership of the line and purchasing wholesale natural gas from an outside source.

The applicant indicated that Green River City would own the pipeline and be purchasing natural gas. They do not have specifics but if the feasibility study indicates it is affordable to provide to the citizens, including operation and maintenance, they will proceed.

The Board suggested doing the feasibility component only.

Gregg Galecki made a substitute motion placing the project on the Priority List as a \$25,000 grant for the feasibility study only. There was no second to the motion.

The Chairman called the question on the original motion to include a contingency of EDA approval.

Jim Matson made and Steve Farrell seconded the motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$45,000 grant contingent on receiving the Economic Development Agency (EDA) \$50,000 grant. The motion carried with Gregg Galecki opposed.

3. Town of Hatch (Garfield County)

The Town of Hatch presented a funding assistance request for a \$5,000 grant to develop a transportation master plan which will include evaluating roadways, streets and pavements to

improve the overall condition of roads in the town and plan for future projects. The plan will provide the town with cost estimates of necessary improvements to assist in budgeting and planning for future needs. The applicant is contributing \$5,000 cash.

The applicant stated there is a lot of traffic through their small town outside of Zion, Bryce and Cedar Breaks. This plan will identify and prioritize roadway maintenance and improvements including safety, drainage and upkeep.

The Board asked if the funding was sufficient to complete adequate planning.

The applicant stated they felt the funding was adequate to accommodate the study as presented.

Ron Winterton made and Jim Matson seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$5,000 grant. The motion carried unanimously.

4. Garfield County (Garfield County)

Garfield County presented a funding assistance request for an \$800,000 grant for a new public works facility to include a 72 X 93 foot metal building with 7 bays for mechanical work, a metal building with 10-12 bays for equipment storage and a metal building for salt storage. The project will also include a retention pond, asphalt, concrete, fencing, fuel tanks, interior equipment and landscaping. The applicant is contributing \$320,000 cash.

The applicant acknowledged the reduced revenue and the desire to lend funding but stated that Garfield County has a unique situation. Their money is all governmental revenue which is not guaranteed. The detention pond is included as trucks are washed down, but could be omitted. The applicant indicated they would work with what the Board would fund. The project could be done in phases if necessary to accommodate grant funding; not a loan. Garfield County has a no debt policy.

The Board asked if the project were to be phased, what would be included in Phase I.

The applicant indicated that if the Board authorized a reduced amount, they would accommodate the project up to the amount provided.

The Board acknowledged the property tax increases occurring and that 93% of Garfield County is federal land.

Bruce Adams made and Mike McKee seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as an \$800,000 grant.

Prior to the vote, the Board suggested that the applicant cash be saved, the project scope be reduced and the funding be awarded as a loan referring approximately \$100,000 in debt that would be retired in the coming year. A substitute motion was made.

Gregg Galecki made a substitute motion for an \$800,000 loan for 30 years at 2.5% interest. There was no second to the motion.

The Board asked about the status of applicant cash and property indicated in the application.

The applicant stated that they have the property acquired from the BLM on an RB&B which took 6 years to acquire. The cash is income from savings and some liquidated property.

The Board suggested a 50% grant, 50% loan.

The applicant stated they have a zero debt model that is working for Garfield County and would like to keep it that way.

The Chairman called the question on the original motion.

Bruce Adams made and Mike McKee seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as an \$800,000 grant. The motion carried with Gregg Galecki opposed.

5. Iron County Municipal Building Authority (Iron County)

Iron County MBA presented a funding assistance request for a \$794,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$793,583 grant (total \$1,587,583) for a county courthouse remodel to include electrical upgrades, mechanical and plumbing upgrades, fire suppression, ADA ramps and handrails, an elevator upgrade and exterior and interior improvements and replacements. The applicant is contributing \$1,100,000 cash.

The applicant stated that this project would remodel the beautiful 2-story county courthouse building constructed in 1972. The building has cast iron sewer pipes and galvanized pipe water lines which have had issues including sewage leaks. The improvements are mainly structural (with the exception of a cornice around the outside of the building) to accommodate fire suppression, HVAC air handling upgrades, electrical and communication upgrades.

The Board indicated that with so much cash they could qualify for financing outside of CIB. They were also asked if there was money from the state courts. The applicant stated that this building is no longer used as a court house.

Naghi Zeenati made and Bruce Adams seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$794,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$793,583 grant (total \$1,587,583).

Prior to the vote the Board acknowledged Iron County's contribution to the Mineral Lease Fund of \$837,547 over the past 5 years but suggested that financing could be accommodated through other funding sources and asked if they had pursued other funding sources.

The applicant indicated they had not pursued other funding and stated they were deserving of some of these funds in Southern Utah. It is an important project and somewhat of an emergency situation.

A substitute motion was made.

Jae Potter made and Gregg Galecki seconded a substitute motion to deny the project. The motion failed with 2 ayes (Potter, Galecki) and 7 nays (Ogden, Adams, Farrell, Zeenati, McKee, Matson, Winterton).

The Board questioned the high cost of the ADA improvements - \$400,000 for a two story building.

The applicant stated that the architect indicated that is what must be done.

The Board suggested the possibility of constructing a new building.

The applicant preferred to remodel the beautiful, modern, well-built concrete building which has 40 more years of service to the Parowan community and a new building would be more expensive.

The Chairman called the question on the original motion.

Naghi Zeenati made and Bruce Adams seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$794,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$793,583 grant (total \$1,587,583). The motion carried with Jae Potter and Gregg Galecki opposed.

6. Town of Fayette (Sanpete County)

The Town of Fayette presented a funding assistance request for a \$430,000 grant for Phase II of the 2016 road improvement project to include pulverizing and laying asphalt on 9,335 linear feet of roadway at 300 North, 100 North, 50 North, Center Street, 100 South, 200 South, 300 South, 400 South, 100 East, 200 East and Cemetery Road.

The applicant has completed Phase I of this project under budget and indicated a reduced funding request of a \$326,000 grant. An additional road was completed in Phase I. The original request was for the entire project, but as suggested by the Board, the project was phased. The most critical roads and drainage problems were accommodated in Phase I.

The Board asked if there would be a Phase III and the applicant indicated there was not a Phase III.

The Board inquired if there is a maintenance plan in place.

The applicant indicated there was a plan in place and that chip sealing would be done on a regular basis through the \$20,000 they get in the new gas tax revenue.

The applicant has the contractor from Phase I committed to the original bids.

Tooter Ogden made and Gregg Galecki seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$326,000 grant. The motion carried unanimously.

7. Sanpete County Municipal Building Authority (Sanpete County)

Sanpete County MBA presented a funding assistance request for a \$141,750 grant for the purchase of a new 2016 Pisten Bully Scout Snow Cat with a 100 HP John Deere turbo-diesel engine for search and rescue operations.

The applicant indicated that for the terrain, a snow cat is an essential piece of equipment. A small LMC snow cat was purchased in 1987 which has over 900 hours of use and as of June 1, 2016 support and parts were discontinued. They also have a 1994 Tucker Snow Cat. The new

snow cat being requested has a 5 person cab and is versatile for search and rescue on the mountainsides. The applicant will sell the older snow cat and utilize that money to equip the new snow cat. Ownership will remain with Sanpete County.

The Board acknowledged the all-volunteer search and rescue.

The Board asked if this was the best priority for Sanpete County and suggested some loan for this equipment.

The applicant said that funds for search and rescue operations are donated, and the commission is not willing to accommodate a loan payment.

The Board suggested a \$100,000 grant from CIB and the remainder from Sanpete County.

The applicant stated 'no'.

The Board asked if they had considered the purchase of a used snow cat.

The applicant said that a snow cat is a high maintenance machine and it is very difficult to get a used snow cat which may require \$30,000 in repairs immediately.

The Board asked how many times the snow cat is called out to assist.

The applicant stated that use depends on snow fall. During deer and elk season, snowmobiles cannot accommodate dirt trails and terrain.

The Board asked how the other snow cats were paid for.

The personnel representing the application were not with the County when those vehicles were purchased.

The Board acknowledged the need for this equipment, but suggested that this fund might not be the appropriate place to seek funding. The Board has limited funds to allocate for capital projects.

The applicant indicated a willingness to provide 15% of the cost.

Jae Potter made a motion to deny the project. There was no second to the motion.

The Chairman called for a substitute motion.

Tooter Ogden made and Naghi Zeenati seconded a substitute motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$120,000 grant contingent on a 15% applicant match. The motion carried with Jae Potter opposed.

8. Hildale City (Washington County)

Hildale City presented a funding assistance request for a \$336,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$336,000 grant (total \$672,000) to accommodate flood control and storm water detention improvements to include 400 cubic yards of riprap, 8,000 cubic yards of compacted embankment, 90 linear feet of outlet concrete encased pipe and 550 linear feet of outlet pipe at

the Central Street location, 370 cubic yards of riprap, 8,000 cubic yards of compacted embankment, 100 linear feet of outlet concrete encased pipe and 1,100 linear feet of outlet pipe at the Carling Street location and 100 cubic yards of riprap and 100 cubic yards of compacted earthwork, channel excavation and 4' x 4' drainage basin at the Canyon Cove location.

The applicant thanked the Board for the grant they received last year when severe flooding occurred causing several deaths. That project is completed. The bids were higher than expected and Hildale had to use some of their reserve funds. There are 4 locations proposed to include Central Street, Carling Street and Canyon Cove. Willow Street retention basin will receive assistance from the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) through an application placed by Washington County.

The applicant's request is to mitigate drainage and flood control at Central Street, Carling Street and Canyon Cove located above residential properties.

The applicant has contacted BLM, Dam Safety, and Natural Resources Conservation Services as funding sources besides CIB for flood mitigation funding.

The Board asked the applicant if they could take 100% loan.

The applicant did not feel that would be possible to take more loan funding considering their other outstanding loans.

The Board asked about phasing the project and the applicant indicated a willingness to consider phasing.

The Board asked about the status of working with the BLM and the NRCS funding.

The applicant indicated there was a good working relationship between the BLM and NRCS. There isn't a time frame but it should be soon. They are requesting easements, not obtaining property. The NRCS has been funded and the initial design for Willow Street is done.

The Board expressed concern about high engineering expense.

The applicant indicated that the concern is for safety so the design of the project is very important. Also, they have engaged Dam Safety which has requirements driving the cost.

The Board's legal counsel asked whether Colorado City and/or Arizona would receive benefit from this project.

The applicant indicated that Arizona will benefit only as their flooding is stopped.

The Board discussed funding. The NRCS is through Washington County for Willow Street. The CIB funding would be for the remaining locations.

Mike McKee made and Jim Matson seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$336,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$336,000 grant (total \$672,000). The motion carried with Gregg Galecki opposed.

9. LaVerkin City (Washington County)

LaVerkin City presented a funding assistance request for a \$241,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$242,000 grant (total \$483,000) for improvements on 300 West Road to include rotomilling 20,000 square feet roadway, 25,000 square feet of 3" bituminous surface coarse, 1,300 linear feet of curb and gutter, 600 linear feet of sidewalk, 500 square feet of driveway restoration, a large block retaining wall with a railing, relocating power poles, 2 fire hydrants, and water system improvements to include 6" and 8" PVC waterlines, gate, valves meters and fittings. The applicant is contributing \$17,000 cash.

The applicant indicated that this is a section of road in need of repair and widening. There is an incline to the road which is a safety issue. This project is to improve the safety of a 720 foot long section. There is a culinary water line utility which also needs to be replaced in this section to avoid cutting the road in the future. There is public support for this project.

The Board indicated that sidewalk repair is up to the owner.

The applicant indicated that the curb, gutter and sidewalks are a small portion of the project.

The Board asked if the applicant had gone to Water Quality for funding.

The applicant had not.

The Board expressed concern about the continued creep in engineering costs.

The applicant indicated a good working relationship with the engineering firm. There is no in-house engineering staff.

The Board asked if they could take more loan.

The applicant indicated a request for all grant was the first inclination.

Chairman Heaton asked what would happen if they did not get funding for the sidewalk or retaining wall.

The applicant stated that the retaining wall is very important due to the slope of the land. It would not be advisable to place asphalt on the significant slope. The road is narrow and the retaining wall is significant for safety.

The Board again expressed concern about the engineering expenses and sidewalks. The Board suggested a different grant/loan scenario.

Mike McKee made and Steve Farrell seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$383,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$100,000 grant (total \$483,000). The motion carried unanimously.

10. Wasatch County Fire Protection Special Service District (Wasatch County)

Wasatch County Fire Protection SSD presented a funding assistance request for a \$600,000 loan for 20 years at 1.5% interest and an \$850,000 grant (total \$1,450,000) for the purchase of a Pierce Enforcer 107' Ascendant HD Ladder Truck with equipment and a Pierce Enforcer 15000 GPM Pumper Engine with equipment. The applicant is contributing \$200,000 cash.

The applicant stated that hazmat vehicles have been purchased for the highway incidents. The aerial truck requirement is now 100' for 3-story buildings. The District has two 75' ladder trucks; the 1979 Platform is 75', the 1979 Mack is solely an aerial apparatus with no pumping capabilities; the 1974, 1981, and 1987 pumper engines are out of NFPA compliance due to the age. The District protects 1,175 square miles of which 75% is Wildland and 25% is Residential.

The Board suggested that Wasatch County has a large tax base which should be able to accommodate this type of purchase and asked if there has been a tax increase to cover these purchases.

The applicant indicated there was an increase in 2014. The budget is small, and there has not been a tax increase for the district in 25 years. The 2014 tax increase accommodated the down payment.

The Board acknowledged that the equipment is needed, but this Board is not the appropriate source for funding. Also, fire equipment may be funded through USDA and other sources.

The applicant acknowledged they are not a producing county but are highly impacted by highway and hazmat issues.

The Board appreciated the impact but again suggested other sources for the funding.

Jae Potter made and Gregg Galecki seconded a motion to deny the project. The motion carried with Steve Farrell opposed.

11. Nibley City (Cache County)

Nibley City presented a funding assistance request for a \$17,500 grant for a project consisting of the development of a parks master plan with a focus on small and varied parks and the development of a trail network throughout the City.

The applicant explained that this is actually three plans in one, directly related to each other; parks/trails, open space, and recreation. They have 6 proposals and the selection committee is meeting to discuss those proposals. The plan done in 2010-2011 was focused on one regional park. This plan is for the development of smaller parks throughout the City.

Chairman Heaton noted that Nibley City has come to the CIB Board each of the past four years for planning assistance and has received funding. Cache County is low in impacted status.

The Board indicated that given the status of CIB funds and priorities, this project does not match what CIB would consider.

The Board asked about the status and source of applicant cash.

The applicant indicated that the cash is in place coming from the park budget

Naghi Zeenati made and Mike McKee seconded a motion placing the project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the October 6, 2016 funding meeting as a \$17,500 grant as presented.

Gregg Galecki made and Tooter Ogden seconded a substitute motion to deny the project. The motion carried with 6 ayes (Galecki, Ogden, Farrell, Adams, Potter, Matson) and 3 nays (Zeenati, Winterton, McKee).

IV. BOARD MEMBER ISSUES

1. Four County Funding – April 2015

Jae Potter stated he had conferred with the four counties involved and all would like to withdraw the Throughput Infrastructure Funding request.

The Chairman indicated that this is more of an administrative issue and reminded the Board that this funding is Mineral Lease monies and not Bonus Funds.

V. ADJOURNMENT

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Permanent Community Impact Fund Board will be September 8, 2016 at the Housing and Community Development office, 1385 South State Street, Room 157, Salt Lake City, Utah.

The meeting adjourned at 11:40 a.m.

Submitted by:
Cristine Rhead