

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD MEETING

Department of Workforce Services
Housing and Community Development Division
Salt Lake City, Utah

MINUTES

Thursday, June 9, 2016

Members Present

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Keith Heaton | Chairman |
| Claudia Jarrett | Six County Association of Governments |
| Bruce Adams | Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments |
| Jae Potter | Carbon County |
| Steve Farrell | State Board of Water Resources |
| Gregg Galecki | State Board of Water Quality |
| Michael McKee | Uintah County |
| Jim Matson | Five County Association of Governments |
| Ron Winterton | Uintah Basin Association of Governments |
| Naghi Zeenati | State Transportation Commission |

Excused Members

| | |
|----------------|-----------------|
| David Damschen | State Treasurer |
|----------------|-----------------|

Staff and Visitors

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Jonathan Hardy | Housing and Community Development |
| Candace Powers | Housing and Community Development |
| Gayle Gardner | Housing and Community Development |
| Shad West | Housing and Community Development |
| Cristine Rhead | Housing and Community Development |
| Kimberley Schmeling | Housing and Community Development |
| Katherine Smith | Housing and Community Development |
| Paul Moberley | Housing and Community Development |
| Kyle Slaughter | Housing and Community Development |
| Flint Timmins | Housing and Community Development |
| Aubrey Larsen | Housing and Community Development |
| William Prater | Bond Counsel |
| Gary Zabriskie | Five County Association of Governments |
| Brian Carver | Bear River Association of Governments |
| Beth Wondimu | Division of Water Quality |
| Nathan Hall | Division of Drinking Water |
| Paul Krauth | Division of Water Quality |
| Jeremy Curry | Town of Tabiona |
| John Breakfield | Town of Tabiona |
| Doug Parsons | East Carbon City |
| Darin Jenkins | Tabiona School |
| Ralph Okerlund | Six County Infrastructure Coalition |
| Seth Oveson | Carbon County |
| Debbie Hatt | Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments |
| Michael Bryant | Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Duane Shepherd | Uintah Transportation Special Service District |
| Troy Ostler | CIVCO Engineering |
| Bret Reynolds | CIVCO Engineering |
| Mark Raymond | Uintah County |
| Robert Knight | Grand County Transportation Special Service District |
| Curtis Nielson | Ensign Engineering |
| Paul Monroe | Central Iron County Water Conservancy District |
| Lynn Jackson | Grand County Transportation Special Service District |
| Darin Robinson | Jones and DeMille Engineering |
| Douglas Nielson | Sunrise Engineering |
| Russell Frandsen | Legislative Fiscal Analyst |
| Mike Noel | Kane County Water Conservancy District |
| Aaron Averett | Sunrise Engineering |
| Rebecca M. Benally | San Juan County |
| Jewel Kloth | Best Engineering |
| Tooter Ogden | Sevier County Commission |

WELCOME

The Permanent Community Impact Fund Board (CIB) Meeting was held on Thursday, June 9, 2016 at the Hideout Community Center, 648 South Hideout Way, Monticello, Utah and called to order at 8:30 a.m. by Chairman Keith Heaton.

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

Financial Review

Candace Powers reviewed the status of the funds for today’s meeting. There are eight projects on the priority list, two pending projects, one request for special consideration and one supplemental request on today’s agenda.

Review of Agenda Items

Candace Powers reviewed the agenda items with the Board members.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Chairman Heaton welcomed everyone and asked Board members and staff to give introductions.

Chairman Heaton thanked Commissioner Bruce Adams and Kelly Pehrson with San Juan County for their efforts in hosting the 2016 CIB Policy Meeting.

Chairman Heaton expressed appreciation to Commissioner Claudia Jarrett for her service and great contribution having served two terms representing Six County Association of Governments on the Permanent Community Impact Fund Board. Her term on this Board has ended; this is her last meeting as a board member.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Heaton requested a motion to approve the minutes from the May 5, 2016 meeting.

Claudia Jarrett made and Naghi Zeenati seconded a motion to approve the minutes of the May 5, 2016 meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

III. PRIORITY PROJECTS

All projects advanced to the Priority List were reviewed in detail by the Board at previous meetings. The information below is a summary of the requests as advanced to the Priority List.

1. Carbon County Municipal Building Authority (Carbon County)

Carbon County MBA's funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$6,900,000 loan for 25 years at 2.5% interest with deferred payments for a new 7th District Courts Complex consisting of constructing a 33,000 square foot court complex at 120 East Main Street in Price to accommodate three courtrooms and associated support services. The repayment schedule for this project will include a deferral of payments for the first five years as interest accrues; the second five years will be interest only payments. Principle payments of \$5,000 plus interest will begin in year eleven through fourteen. Equalized payments of principle and interest will begin in year fifteen.

The applicant indicated that the revenue source for this project will be the State of Utah Courts.

Total Funding Request: \$6,900,000 loan for 25 years at 2.5% interest with deferral of payments.

Jim Matson made and Steve Farrell seconded a motion to fund this project as advanced to the Priority List.

The Board discussed the fund balance and suggested a portion of funding in the Infrastructure Set-aside fund be reallocated to the Mineral Lease account to accommodate relevant Board discussion of projects on today's funding agenda.

Jae Potter made and Bruce Adams seconded a motion to reallocate \$4,000,000 from the Infrastructure Set-aside fund to the Mineral Lease fund as needed.

The Chairman called the question on the Carbon County project.

Jim Matson made and Steve Farrell seconded a motion to fund the Carbon County 7th District Courts Complex as a \$6,900,000 loan for 25 years at 2.5% interest including the proposed repayment schedule. The motion carried unanimously.

The Chairman called the question on reallocation of the Infrastructure Set-aside fund as needed.

Jae Potter made and Bruce Adams seconded a motion to reallocate \$4,000,000 from the Infrastructure Set-aside fund to the Mineral Lease fund as needed. The motion carried unanimously.

2. Town of Tabiona ((Duchesne County)

The Town of Tabiona's funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$2,684,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$2,683,193 grant (total \$5,367,193) to construct a new 23,763 square foot community center with a gymnasium, indoor walking and running track, food preparation area, multiple rooms for classes and meetings and office space for staff. The project funding does not include setting up a building authority.

Total Funding Request: \$5,367,193 (\$2,684,000 loan for 20 years at 2.5% interest and a \$2,683,193 grant).

Gregg Galecki made and Mike McKee seconded a motion to fund this project as advanced to the Priority List. The motion carried unanimously.

3. Town of Joseph (Sevier County)

The Town of Joseph's funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$169,000 loan for 10 years at 2.5% interest and a \$306,000 grant (total \$475,000) for road improvements to include 5.4 ton of crack seal, 1,650 ton of road base for shoulders, 290,807 square feet of chip seal, 74,408 square feet of double chip seal, 58,874 square feet of roto-milling, 106,220 square feet of seal coating, cutting 21,625 linear feet of drainage ditch and repairs to the bridge at 100 South 100 West including concrete waterways.

Total Funding Request: \$475,000 (\$169,000 loan for 10 years at 2.5% interest and a \$306,000 grant).

4. Grand County Transportation Special Service District (Grand County)

Grand County Transportation SSD's funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$267,400 grant for the La Sal Mountain Loop Road Phase 1 to repair 5.4 miles between mileposts 15 and 21 to include pulverizing and replacing asphalt, 40,000 square yards of road base, road widening, 40,000 square yards of chip seal, reestablishing ditches, replacing and/or adding culverts and installing mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) walls where required.

Total Funding Request: \$267,400 grant.

5. Fillmore City (Millard County)

Fillmore City's funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$348,000 loan for 15 years at 2.5% interest and a \$524,500 grant (total \$872,500) for a storm drainage project to include installing 6,100 lineal feet of storm drain pipe, catch basins, cleanouts and surface repairs along Highway 99.

Total Funding Request: \$872,500 (\$348,000 loan for 15 years at 2.5 % interest and a \$524,500 grant).

Chairman Heaton requested a motion to fund projects #3 - #5 as advanced to the Priority List.

Bruce Adams made and Ron Winterton seconded a motion to fund projects #3 - #5 as advanced to the Priority List. The motion carried unanimously.

6. Flaming Gorge Transportation Special Service District (Daggett County)

Flaming Gorge Transportation SSD's funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$1,700,000 loan for 5 years at 0.0% interest and a \$380,000 grant (total \$2,080,000) for Brown's Park Road Phase 3 to include excavation and application of approximately 253,440 square yards of double chip seal and 406 ton of asphalt to reconstruct, widen and pave 9 miles of Brown's Park Road.

The Board discussed funding this project at a 2.5% interest rate.

Total Funding Request: \$2,080,000 (\$1,700,000 loan for 5 years at 2.5% interest and a \$380,000 grant).

Mike McKee made and Steve Farrell seconded a motion to fund this project as a \$1,700,000 loan for 5 years at 2.5% interest and a \$380,000 grant (total \$2,080,000). The motion carried unanimously.

7. Northwestern Special Service District (Washington County)

Northwestern SSD's funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$67,496 grant for the purchase of a new 1,000 gallon Type 1 Interface fire engine for the Town of Gunlock.

Total Funding Request: \$67,496 grant.

Ron Winterton made and Naghi Zeenati seconded a motion to fund this project as advanced to the Priority List. The motion carried unanimously.

8. Uintah County Municipal Building Authority (Uintah County)

The Uintah County MBA funding request was advanced to the Priority List as a \$2,727,000 30-year loan at 0.0% interest and an \$8,179,251 grant (total \$10,906,251) for a storm water and flood control project to include purchasing the Highline, Ashley Upper and Rock Point canals, a 140,000 cubic yard detention basin the installation of 220 feet of 18 inch pipe, 650 feet of 24 inch pipe, 1,650 feet of 30 inch pipe, 1,070 feet of 36 inch pipe, 740 feet of 42 inch pipe, 4,600 feet of 48 inch pipe, 5,630 feet of 54 inch pipe, 1,900 feet of 60 inch pipe, 670 feet of 66 inch pipe, and 2,450 feet of 72 inch pipe, culverts and drainage structures. The project also includes utility relocation.

Total Funding Request: \$10,906,251 (\$2,727,000 loan for 30 years at 0.0% interest and an \$8,179,251 grant).

The Board indicated that there have been concerns from various entities about this project.

The applicant expressed surprise at the controversy surrounding the project which is for the purpose of flood mitigation on the west side of Vernal City and Uintah County. The canal companies have indicated a desire to pipe the water in the canals and if the irrigation water is piped, the channels of those canals would accommodate flood water. This project will acquire the rights of way to keep those channels open at a lesser cost than building new waterways. A 2008 study recommended piping the irrigation water and utilizing the channel for flood mitigation as costs associated with constructing parallel channels would be prohibitive. Piping would also conserve water. The irrigation pipe would be placed in the banks of the canals.

The Board asked what the CIB funding would be for.

The applicant indicated that it would be for acquiring the right of way.

The Board indicated that it appears to be paying the water companies to upgrade their system.

The applicant referred to the overall project budget being \$15 million dollars which includes \$2,000,000 from the canal companies and \$4,491,396 from NRCS. \$1,000,000 indicated on the application from UTSSD is what has been spent to implement crossings and culverts. Purchasing the right of way from the canal companies will enable the rest of the expense of piping. The CIB funding brings the other 60% of the cost of the project.

The Board asked what would be the result if they did not receive this funding; if rights of way were not purchased.

The applicant indicated that the piping project would not go forward in those two canals and water would remain in the canals.

The Board clarified that this funding would purchase the right of way from the canal companies who own the right of way and this project would secure the open channel and allow the companies to pipe their water.

The applicant indicated that is correct.

The Board reaffirmed that the canal companies are not an eligible applicant for this funding and could not return to request funding for the lateral piping.

The Board asked if there was sufficient public notice for comment on this project and funding application.

Commissioner McKee enumerated the meetings held concerning this project and suggested that there were many and the applicant indicated that Vernal City held meetings; Naples City held meetings as did the County and acknowledged there is never enough public notice.

The Board expressed concern about the reluctance for this project referring to the concerns voiced by Commissioner Stringer and others.

The applicant acknowledged concerns but indicated that there are items that cannot be addressed until funding is in place such as engineering and permitting from DEQ for the flood mitigation permit. The applicant is confident all permits will be acquired and those details will all be addressed before this project culminates.

The Board asked about the Rockpoint Canal which has already piped the water but requires \$872,000 to keep the right of way open. Where did their funding come from?

The applicant indicated that Rockpoint has done the piping through Bureau of Reclamation funding and is planning to fill in the canal if the applicant does not obtain the right of way.

The Board asked why the need to pay for what is already planned and suggested that a fair market assessment of the right of way might be prudent rather than relying on the canal company assessment of the value being acquired.

It was stated that an assessment of the land would not indicate the value of what is being acquired. The canal companies have determined the cost of piping and that determines the purchase cost of the rights of way to keep the channel open. The cost of constructing a parallel canal is much more expensive.

The Board inquired about increasing the capacity of the existing canal without having to pipe.

The applicant indicated increasing the capacity has been considered and those costs were used to analyze the feasibility of this application. Additionally, increasing the size of the canal would increase water loss through evaporation and seepage. The canal companies have \$6 million to

put toward the cost. CIB funding is for the purchase of the right of way and would provide the rest of the money for the piping project. If the funding is not available, there will be no pipelines built; water would remain in the channel which could then not accommodate flood waters.

The Board asked if the project could be done in phases or one canal could be addressed at this time.

The applicant stated that phasing is a possibility but the two canals and pipeline are connected and need to be done at the same time.

The Board expressed concern about the risk that the money would not be repaid.

The applicant gave assurance that the loan would be repaid.

Claudia Jarrett made and Jim Matson seconded a motion to fund this project as advanced to the Priority List. The motion carried unanimously.

IV. PENDING LIST

1. East Carbon City (Carbon County)

May 5, 2016 Minutes:

East Carbon City presented a funding assistance request for a \$1,017,600 grant for a project to remove 4.5 miles of deteriorated sidewalk and install 4.5 miles of new sidewalk to include 48 new ADA ramps and L shaped curb and gutter. The applicant is contributing \$5,000 to this project.

The applicant indicated the existing sidewalks in the Sunnyside area of East Carbon City have not been updated since 1973, are inadequate, and do not meet current ADA standards.

The Board indicated that often small communities have few if any sidewalks and asked if the sidewalks are the highest priority for funding.

The applicant indicated that the existing sidewalks are hazardous and there is strong reluctance to assume more debt.

The Board acknowledged that the town is seeking to revitalize, but expressed difficulty with funding 4.5 miles of sidewalk.

The Board discussed project funding.

Jim Matson made and Jae Potter seconded a motion placing this project on the Priority List for funding consideration at the June 9, 2016 funding meeting as a \$1,017,600 grant.

After further discussion the Board asked if the applicant could take half grant and half loan or if the sidewalk repair locations could be prioritized.

The applicant stated that it would be difficult. All sidewalks are in need of replacement.

The Board expressed concern about all grant funding for sidewalks and suggested the applicant review the funding and perhaps prioritize locations for sidewalk improvements.

The applicant asked what portion the Board might grant.

The Board indicated possible funding as a 50% grant, 50% loan and suggested the applicant consider options.

The applicant indicated they could not afford a \$500,000 loan.

Mike McKee made and Ron Winterton seconded a motion placing this project on the Pending List for consideration at a future meeting. The motion carried with Jae Potter and Jim Matson opposed.

On June 9, 2016 East Carbon City presented a *revised* funding assistance request for a \$192,000 loan for 30 years at 0.0% and a \$764,640 grant (\$956,640 total) to remove 95,000 square feet of deteriorated sidewalk and install new sidewalk and L shaped curb and gutter and 42 new ADA ramps.

The applicant has revised the original request of 100% grant to 80% grant and 20% loan for this project.

The Board suggested doing the project in phases.

The Board asked if the applicant had approached any other programs such as UDOT or CDBG for funding.

The applicant indicated that there is no priority for the streets to accommodate phasing. All three streets need to be done equally. UDOT will not do sidewalks and CDBG did not rank this project to receive funding.

The Board suggested an interest rate of 1.5%.

The applicant said the interest rate would be okay and requested a 3 year deferral.

Claudia Jarrett made and Naghi Zeenati seconded a motion to fund this project for \$956,640 (\$192,000 loan for 30 years at 1.5% interest and a \$764,640 grant) to include a three year payment deferral. The motion carried with Ron Winterton opposed.

2. Central Iron County Water Conservancy District (Iron County)

From the May 5, 2016 CIB Minutes:

Central Iron County WCD presented a funding assistance request for a \$150,000 grant for the purpose of drilling 5 test wells in Pine Valley to locate future production well sites that will service Cedar Valley as well as recharge the aquifer and recover groundwater levels. The applicant is contributing \$150,000 to the project.

The applicant stated the District was established in 1997 in Cedar Valley. The aquifer is the only water storage area in the basin and the applicant is looking for more water sources. This project is Cedar Valley's 'last straw' to import water. The applicant's water rights were approved in 2014 for those areas. There are two separate water rights; Pine Valley is for 15,000 acre feet, and Wah Wah Valley is for 6,500 acre feet.

The applicant discussed legal issues including an appeal from Beaver County which has been made concerning the Wah Wah Valley on SITLA land. The parties have agreed to mediate. The applicant also provided a letter from legal counsel indicating that CIB could not be drawn into the legal issues at hand. "The funds will be earmarked for other than litigation."

The applicant has approached USDA's Rural Utilities Service (RUS) and was advised that the project needs to be ready for funding. This study will prepare the project for USDA funding.

The applicant has approached the Division of Drinking Water which would provide a matching loan. The Division of Water Resources (DWR) has indicated possible assistance with the piping project. DWR is helping with recharge efforts for the aquifer.

The applicant indicated approval from BLM for right of way and the NEPA process.

The Board asked if the applicant had approval from Beaver County.

The applicant reiterated that the parties are mediating shortly and referred to having the approved water right and approval from BLM.

The Board inquired about the number and location of wells to be drilled.

The applicant indicated they are getting many wells approved but if they drill 7 and find sufficient water, then only 7 will be drilled.

The Board indicated that the public hearing submitted did not contain the discussion of this project but did refer to District 1 and Derby 2, and asked for clarification.

The applicant stated that District 1 and Derby 2 are the wells being drilled in Cedar Valley, where there is not sufficient water. The aquifer has dropped 114 feet.

The Board expressed concern about the mediation, water rights, and the expense of the study and other expenses indicating that to run a 50 mile pipeline is very expensive.

The applicant indicated that the pipeline will cost \$200 million which is substantially less expensive than the Lake Powell pipeline and said it pencils out to be a good project and cost effective for the price of water.

The Board asked how the applicant will fund the pipeline.

The applicant indicated the pipeline would be funded through raising water rates.

The Board asked if the applicant would be applying to this Board for funding the larger project and asked if the community could pay for the \$150,000 required for this project.

The applicant stated they are seeking a \$150,000 grant to drill a new well, and recharge the aquifer and to know exactly what is available before spending \$200,000 million on a project.

The applicant also indicated the complete minutes of the public hearing would be provided.

The Board suggested placing this project on the Pending List with a request for further information before consideration of funding.

Mike McKee made and David Damschen seconded a motion placing this project on the Pending List for consideration at a future meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

On May 9, 2016 the Central Iron County WCD minutes for the project were provided.

On June 9, 2016 the Central Iron County Water Conservancy District returned to discuss their request for a \$150,000 grant for the purpose of drilling 5 test wells in Pine Valley to locate future production well sites that will service Cedar Valley as well as recharge the aquifer and recover groundwater levels.

The Board noted that the minutes discussing this project had been received.

The applicant indicated that permitting is in place. Legal issues which included an appeal from Beaver County regarding the Wah Wah Valley on SITLA land would go to mediation this month.

Total Funding Request: \$150,000 grant.

Naghi Zeenati made and Gregg Galecki seconded a motion to fund this project as requested. The motion carried unanimously.

V. SPECIAL CONSIDERATION

Chairman Heaton requested a motion to hear the Request for Consideration from the Six County Infrastructure Coalition.

Naghi Zeenati made and Steve Farrell seconded a motion to hear the Request for Special Consideration. The motion carried unanimously.

1. Six County Infrastructure Coalition (Multi County)

The Six County Infrastructure Coalition presented a funding request for a \$500,000 grant for the Book Cliffs Transportation Corridor Environmental Planning Phase 1 to include holding scope meetings with counties and the BLM, preparing an SF-299 application for federal right-of-way consideration, and the preparation of a conceptual design to serve as basis for the analysis. The project will also develop a plan of development, identify alternatives, publish a notice of intent, conduct environmental data collection and analysis, identify general impacts, consequences and potential mitigation options for alternatives and identify issues needing further and more detailed investigations in the next environmental planning phase. The applicant has \$500,000 in matching funds from the Uintah Transportation Special Service District.

The applicant indicated that this is an environmental study to consider the feasibility and route consideration to connect to I-70. There was an economic study done by Parson's Brinkerhoff funded by Uintah, Duchesne and Grand County Transportation Service Districts which indicated that extending Seep Ridge Road to I-70 would save time, increase recreation and tourism and support commerce.

The applicant stated that this study would consider where, how and what the obstacles are. Improving the corridor has been a controversial proposal. Phase 1 includes the work required to initiate the environmental permitting process. The applicant indicated confidence that the Legislature would be willing to provide the funding for the next phase. There is a statewide need and benefit for this corridor.

The Board pointed out that this corridor is entirely in Grand County and Grand County is not a member of the Six County Infrastructure Coalition.

The applicant indicated that Grand County was involved in the economic study and are aware of this study. The corridor might be lost if action is not taken now. It is hoped to make the project work on a regional basis.

The Board asked if Grand County was supportive of the EIS relative to this project and asked if this would be on public land or private land.

The applicant indicated the land is both public and private; the relationship with Grand County is good.

The applicant indicated that the primary focus of this study is to prepare a plan of development required by the BLM.

The Board asked what would be the time frame.

The applicant indicated it will take 6 – 12 months to get through all the necessary items in this study.

The Board discussed the project and funding.

Claudia Jarrett made and Jae Potter seconded a motion to fund this project as presented. The motion carried with Bruce Adams abstaining.

VI. SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING

1. Kane County Water Conservancy District (Kane County)

On October 1, 2015 the Board authorized a \$525,000 grant and a \$350,000 loan for 30 years at 1.0% interest (total \$875,000) to Kane County Water Conservancy District to build a new 3,182 square foot office building with a 2,847 square foot partial finished basement. A 3,200 square foot steel warehouse and shop is to be built on the same 5 acre lot.

Kane County Water Conservancy District requested time on the June 9, 2016 CIB meeting to request an additional \$445,500 (a \$180,000 loan for 30 years at 1.0% interest and a \$265,500 grant) in supplemental funding.

The applicant indicated that the bids received were higher than expected. The project has not changed with the exception of moving a power line which incurred a \$29,000 expense.

The Board discussed funding and the availability of Mineral Lease funds. If necessary to cover the projects, additional funding shall be reallocated from the Infrastructure Set-aside fund.

The Board expressed concern about what caused the cost overages.

The applicant indicated that it was a number of things. It is difficult to get contractors into the area and the project encountered subsurface rock requiring rock cutting.

Total Supplemental Funding Request: \$445,500 (\$180,000 loan for 30 years at 1.0% interest and a \$265,500 grant).

Mike McKee made and Naghi Zeenati seconded a motion to fund this project as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

VII. BOARD MEMBER DISCUSSION

1. Regional Planning Program - Reauthorization for FY2017-FY2021 = \$504,000 annually.

The Board discussed the reauthorization of the Regional Planning Program which funds a planner at the Bear River AOG, the Uintah Basin AOG, the Six County AOG, the Southeastern Utah ALG, and the Five County AOG for planning and project support. Wasatch Front Regional Council and Mountainland AOG receive funding annually to compile the Capital Improvement Lists of potential CIB project funding.

(Note: The *Rural Planning Group* is a program within the Housing and Community Development Division to assist *statewide* with planning and project development.)

Naghi Zeenati moved and Mike McKee seconded a motion to reauthorize the Regional Planning Program for an additional 5 years. The motion carried unanimously.

2. Hideout Town Local Building Authority (Wasatch County)

In 2014, the Board authorized \$1,200,000 (\$1,000,000 loan for 30 years at 1.5% interest and a \$200,00 grant) to the Town of Hideout for a public safety building. The project has been withdrawn.

ADJOURNMENT

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Permanent Community Impact Fund Board will be on July 7, 2016 at the Multi-Agency State Office Building, 195 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah.

The Board authorized following meeting date changes;

The August Meeting will be held August 18, 2016 at the Multi-Agency State Office Building, 195 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah.

The September Meeting will be held September 8, 2016 at the Housing and Community Development Offices, 1385 South State, Salt Lake City, Utah.

This meeting adjourned at 10:40 a.m.

Submitted by:
Cristine Rhead